

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| | APPLICATION NO. | F | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|------------------------------|---------|------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| | 09/939,848 | | 08/27/2001 | Guy T. Blalock 3578 . 1U | 3578 . 1US (92-555.1) | 3166 |
| | 24247 | 7590 | 01/18/2006 | | EXAM | EXAMINER |
| | TRASK BRITT P.O. BOX 2550 | | | | PATEL, ASHOK | |
| | | | | | | |
| | SALT LAK | E CITY, | UT 84110 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | , | | | 2879 | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 01/18/2006 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | ali |
|--|---|---|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| Advisory Action | 09/939,848 | BLALOCK ET AL. | |
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Ashok Patel | 2879 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 09 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo | fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cf | ce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | g date of the final rejection | on. |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | 06.07(f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da). | 136(a) and the appropriat of the fee. The appropri- inally set in the final Offic te of the final rejection, e | te extension fee ate extension fee be action; or (2) as even if timely filed, |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | s of the date of e appeal. Since |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bel appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | nsideration and/or search (see NO w); tter form for appeal by materially re | TE below); ducing or simplifying t | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | ected claims. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co | mpliant Amendment (| PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all works and the desired of the second s | | timely filed amendme | nt canceling the |
| non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected to: 1 the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: 16 and 24. Claim(s) rejected: 1-15,17-23,25 and 26. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | ☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will will will will will will will wi | ll be entered and an e | xplanation of |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | d sufficient reasons why the affidav | it or other evidence is | necessary and |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary. 10. The affidavit are the sufficient reasons who is the sufficient reasons. | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1 | s to provide a). |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | • | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu | t does NOT place the application in | n condition for allowan | ce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N | lo(s) | |

Ashok Patel Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2879 Continuation of 3. NOTE: amendment of claims 1, 7, 11 and 19 would require further consideraztion and/or search since amendment of these claims were not presented prior to the Final rejection. Amendment of claims 11 and 19 technically does not incorporate ALL limitations of their dependent claims 16 and 24. Status of claims 20 and 21 appears to be incorrect in view of the fact that they are not amended at all